

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,359	08/08/2001	Byoung Wook Kim	K-0311	2866	
34610 VED & ASSO	7590 12/12/2007 CIATES LLP	EXAMINER			
KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200			VAN BRAMER, JOHN W		
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/923,359	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Van Bramer	3622		

	John Van Bramer	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 		i E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			(*
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) ☐ will not be entered.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-9, 18, and 30-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		\ \ \ <u>\</u>	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	5 30 De	ante
13.			•
		ERIC W. STA	MBER
		SUPERVISORY PATER	
		TECHNOLOGY CE	

Application No. 09/923,359

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments alter the scope of the claims. The proposed amendments present an arrangement of networks within the proposed system that is different than previously claimed. As such, further consideration regarding the current prior art used in the rejection is required and further search of the art may be required..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment to claim 9, would overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection raised in the Office Action dated 09/21/07. The proposed amendments to claims 5, 18, and 36 would overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection raised in the Office Action dated 9/21/07. However, these amendments also alter the scope of the previously presented claims and as such would required further search and/or consideration.